Bureau of Local Assessment Informational Guideline Release (IGR) No. 02-402 July 2002

FISCAL YEAR 2003 GUIDELINES FOR DETERMINING ANNUAL LEVY LIMIT INCREASE FOR TAX BASE GROWTH

(G. L. Ch. 59 §21C(f))

This Informational Guideline Release provides Boards of Assessors with detailed instructions for identifying and reporting growth in their community's property tax base that may be used to increase the FY2003 levy limit, as provided by Proposition 2½. The following forms referenced in the IGR are included in the FY03 Tax Rate Recap, Pro Forma Recap and Supporting Forms booklet being sent to assessors by the Bureau of Accounts: Form LA-13, "Tax Base Growth Report-FY2003," Form LA-13A, "Amended Tax Base Growth Report, and Form LA-13 "Worksheet"."

Any increase in the value of a property receiving a special tax assessment or tax increment financing (TIF) exemption during the exemption period is treated as allowable value for tax base growth purposes in the year or years when the valuation increase first becomes taxable. Those valuation increases are to be reflected in the total assessed valuation of the community during those years, which are reported in Column H on the Form LA-13.

For FY03, assessors will now have to detail the allowable valuation of new items, including inventory, included in certain personal property accounts. See Instructions, Column I – Personal Property, page 19. Assessors must continue to submit Forms LA-13 and 13A to the Bureau of Local Assessment on the Automated Tax Rate Recapitulation Diskette, along with a signed printed copy. Questions should be referred to your Bureau of Local Assessment field representative.

<u>Topical Index Key:</u>	<u>Distribution:</u>		
Proposition 2½	Assessors		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TAX	TAX BASE GROWTH GUIDELINES		Page 1
I.	QUA	ALIFYING TAX BASE GROWTH	1
II.	DET	DETERMINING TAX BASE GROWTH	
	A.	Allowable Value	2 2
		1. Non-revaluation Year	2
		2. Revaluation Year	2 2 2 3
	B.	Applicable Time Period	3
		1. Construction Activity: New Buildings,	3
		Additions and Alterations	
		2. Subdivisions and Condominium Conversions	4
		3. Exempt Property Returned to Tax Roll	4
		4. Personal Property: New Articles	4
		5. Other Allowable Tax Base Growth	4
	C.	Applicable Tax Rate	5
	D.	Calculation of Levy Limit Increase	5
III.	REP	ORTING REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES	5
	A.	Report Form and Content	5
	B.	Submission of Report	6
		1. Communities Not Completing Revaluation	6
		2. Communities Completing Revaluation	6
	C.	Certification of Tax Base Growth	6
	D.	Approval of Tax Rate	7
	E.	Submission Final Upon Approval of Tax Rate	7
	F.	Amended Tax Base Growth Report	7
		1. Report Deadline	7
		2. Form and Content	7
		3. Certification of Additional Tax Base Growth	8
INIS	TRIIC'	TIONS FOR SURMITTING TAY RASE CROWTH REPORTS	Q

Informational Guideline Release (IGR) No. 02-402 July 2002

FISCAL YEAR 2003 GUIDELINES FOR DETERMINING ANNUAL LEVY LIMIT INCREASE FOR TAX BASE GROWTH

(G. L. Ch. 59 §21C(f))

Proposition 2½ provides a city or town with annual increases in their levy limits of (1) 2.5 percent and (2) an additional amount based on the valuation of certain new construction and other allowable growth in the tax base that is not the result of property revaluation ("new growth"). These annual increases are allowed so long as they do not result in a levy limit above the levy ceiling of 2.5 percent of full and fair cash valuation. If that occurs, the levy limit equals the levy ceiling. General Laws Chapter 59, Sections 21C(b) and (f).

The Commissioner of Revenue is required to determine the annual levy limit for each city and town. In order for the Commissioner to do so, cities and town must submit information documenting allowable tax base growth prior to setting the tax rate. The Commissioner cannot approve a tax rate for any city or town that does not submit a report on its allowable tax base growth for that fiscal year. G.L. Ch. 59 §21D.

These guidelines explain the requirements and procedures to be used by the assessors in calculating and reporting allowable tax base growth in Fiscal Year 2003.

I. QUALIFYING TAX BASE GROWTH

Tax base growth under Proposition 2½ includes any <u>parcel</u> of real property or <u>article</u> of personal property that:

- is subject to taxation for the first time (*e.g.*, new articles of personal property or previously exempt property);
- is being taxed as a separate parcel for the first time (*e.g.*, subdivisions or condominium conversions); or
- has increased in assessed valuation over the prior year so long as the increase is not due to a revaluation program.

II. <u>DETERMINING TAX BASE GROWTH</u>

A. Allowable Value

The increase permitted in a community's FY03 levy limit for tax base growth is based on the <u>allowable value</u> for qualifying properties. The allowable value represents <u>increases</u> in the FY03 assessment roll attributable to changes in the taxable unit, taxable status or physical condition of properties occurring since the FY02 assessment.

The allowable value does <u>not</u> include any increases attributable to changes in the market value of properties in the community, nor is it reduced or offset by decreases in the community's total assessed valuation due to property changes occurring since FY02.

1. Non-revaluation Year

If FY03 is a <u>non-revaluation</u> year, the community's allowable value is determined by comparing the <u>FY02 assessed valuations</u> of parcels and articles with their <u>FY03 assessed valuations</u>.

The FY02 assessed valuation is the valuation of the parcel or item that established the taxpayer's final FY02 tax obligation. Therefore, it must include any <u>value assessed as omitted or revised assessments</u>, as well as exclude any <u>value abated</u> in FY02 <u>that is reflected in the FY03 assessed</u> valuation.

2. Revaluation Year

If FY03 is a <u>revaluation</u> year, the community's allowable value is determined by comparing the <u>adjusted valuations</u> of parcels or articles with their FY02 assessed valuations.

The purpose of determining adjusted valuations is to exclude those increases in a community's FY03 assessed valuations attributable solely to changes in market value, rather than changes in the taxable unit, taxable status or physical condition of properties.

a. A <u>revaluation</u> means <u>any</u> revaluation, reassessment, equalization or other valuation adjustment program, including trending, whether or not the community is scheduled for triennial certification in FY03.

This term <u>also</u> includes the (1) adjustment of appraisal factors, such as grade or condition, or (2) implementation of new valuation systems and methods, for <u>all</u> or <u>some</u> properties resulting in valuation changes in those properties <u>even</u> though they have not had a change in their taxable unit, taxable status or physical condition since the FY02 assessment.

b. The <u>adjusted valuation</u> represents what the FY03 assessed valuations would have been for properties if they had not had a change in their taxable unit, taxable status or physical condition since the FY02 assessment. In other words, it reflects the FY03 valuation schedules applied to FY02 property descriptions (*i.e.*, the property before the new construction or the change in taxable unit or status).

B. Applicable Time Period

To qualify as FY03 tax base growth, properties must have undergone some change in their physical condition due to construction activity <u>or</u> in their taxable unit or status since the FY02 assessment that results in increased assessed valuations for FY03.

1. Construction Activity: New Buildings, Additions and Alterations

All parcels with <u>any</u> increases in their FY03 assessed valuation over their FY02 assessed valuation due to any new buildings, additions, renovations or other new construction on the parcels occurring during the following timeframe qualify as FY03 tax base growth:

• Calendar year 2001 (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2001) for non-Ch. 653 communities.

Chapter 653 §40 of the Acts of 1989 permits the accelerated assessment of new construction and improvements built between January 1 and June 30.

- Calendar year 2001 and the first six months of 2002 (January 1, 2001 to June 30, 2002) for communities implementing Ch. 653 for the first time in FY03.
- Fiscal year 2002 (July 1, 2001 to June 30, 2002) for all other Ch. 653 communities.

2. Subdivisions and Condominium Conversions

All parcels that are being assessed as a separate parcel for the first time as of January 1, 2002 for FY03, due to a lot split, subdivision or condominium conversion occurring during calendar year 2001, qualify as FY03 tax base growth if there has been a <u>net</u> increase in the FY03 assessed valuations of the new parcels over the FY02 assessed valuation of the undivided parcel.

3. Exempt Property Returned to Tax Roll

All parcels not wholly taxable in FY02 that are being assessed as of January 1, 2002 for FY03 because they no longer qualify for a full or partial exemption qualify as FY03 tax base growth.

4. <u>Personal Property: New Articles</u>

All articles of personal property that are being assessed for the first time as of January 1, 2002 for FY03, including accounts of all new personalty, new additions to existing accounts and previously exempt personalty, qualify as FY03 tax base growth. Also qualifying would be any personalty refurbished or enhanced during calendar year 2001 if its FY03 assessed valuation increased over its FY02 assessed valuation. **NOT QUALIFYING**, however, would be any personalty assessed in FY02 that is being assessed for FY03 as part of a new account because of a change in ownership alone.

5. Other Allowable Tax Base Growth

All parcels of real property or articles of personal property with any increases in their FY03 assessed valuations over their FY02 assessed valuations as a result of some other change in or event affecting the property before the FY03 assessment, **EXCEPT REVALUATION**, qualify as FY03 tax base growth.

<u>Example No. 1</u>. A parcel would qualify as FY03 tax base growth if its removal from Chapter 61A classification during calendar year 2001 results in an increase in its FY03 assessed valuation over its FY02 assessed valuation.

<u>Example No. 2</u>. A parcel would qualify as FY03 tax base growth if a zoning change, or the construction of public improvements (roads, sewers, etc.), during calendar year 2001 results in an increase in its FY03 assessed valuation over its FY02 valuation.

<u>Example No. 3</u>. A piece of machinery owned and used by an individual in conducting a business would qualify as FY03 tax base growth if it was completely refurbished during calendar year 2001 resulting in an increase in its FY03 assessed valuation over its FY02 assessed valuation.

Example No. 4. A parcel of commercial property that has <u>not</u> had any change in its physical condition <u>WOULD NOT QUALIFY</u> as tax base growth if (a) the use of the cost approach method in FY03, rather than the income approach used in FY02, (b) the separate pricing of existing improvements (driveways, fences, etc.), or (c) the adjustment of an appraisal factor such as its grade or condition, results in an increase in its FY03 assessed valuation over its FY02 valuation.

C. <u>Applicable Tax Rate</u>

The <u>usage classification</u> of properties on January 1, 2002 for FY03 governs which prior year's tax rate is applicable.

<u>Example</u>. On January 1, 2001 for FY02, a parcel of vacant land was classified as commercial property. During 2001, a four-unit apartment building was constructed on the property substantially increasing its assessed valuation for FY03. Since the parcel is classified as residential property as of January 1, 2002 for FY03, the FY02 residential tax rate will be applied to the allowable value to determine the amount of the levy limit increase permitted.

D. <u>Calculation of Levy Limit Increase</u>

The amount of increase permitted in the community's FY03 levy limit for tax base growth is calculated by multiplying the allowable valuation for all qualifying parcels of real property and items of personal property by the applicable FY02 tax rate.

III. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES

A. Report Form and Content

<u>All</u> Boards of Assessors <u>must</u> submit a report on allowable tax base growth to the Bureau of Local Assessment before setting their FY03 tax rates on Form LA-13, "Tax Base Growth Report."

An aggregate reporting format is used. Under this format, the total allowable valuation and levy limit increase for FY03 is calculated by making adjustments in a community's FY02 assessed valuation base and is then reported on an aggregate basis. The allowable valuation for each parcel and item that qualifies as tax base growth is not calculated and reported individually.

Detailed instructions for completing Form LA-13 are attached. The report must be submitted on the Automated Recap diskette, accompanied by a printed copy signed by the assessors.

B. <u>Submission of Report</u>

In order to prepare and submit an accurate and complete tax base growth report, the assessors must have finalized FY03 assessments.

1. Communities Not Completing Revaluation

Assessors in communities not revaluing property in FY03 may submit information on tax base growth at any time after they finalize their FY03 assessment roll. Wherever possible, the assessors should submit their tax base growth report to the Bureau of Local Assessment with the Form LA-4, "Assessment/Classification Report."

2. <u>Communities Completing Revaluation</u>

If a community is completing a revaluation, reassessment, equalization or other valuation adjustment program in FY03 for the purpose of meeting triennial certification requirements or otherwise, the assessors will not be able to calculate the allowable valuation until the program, including public disclosure activities that may result in assessment changes, is completed. Once the valuations are final, the assessors may submit their tax base growth report to the Bureau of Local Assessment. The assessors should submit that report with the Form LA-4, "Assessment/Classification Report."

C. <u>Certification of Tax Base Growth</u>

The Bureau of Local Assessment will review the tax base growth report submitted by the assessors, certify the amount of tax base growth, if any, substantiated by the assessors and notify the Bureau of Accounts. The Bureau of Accounts will then increase the community's FY03 levy limit accordingly.

Tax base growth will <u>not</u> be certified until the Form LA-4, "Assessment/ Classification Report," has been submitted and approved.

D. Approval of Tax Rate

A community's FY03 tax rate will <u>not</u> be approved by the Bureau of Accounts until a tax base growth report is submitted to and approved by the Bureau of Local Assessment.

E. <u>Submission Final Upon Approval of Tax Rate</u>

Unless the assessors submit an "Amended Tax Base Growth Report" for FY03 in the manner explained in Section III-F below, the amount of tax base growth certified by the Bureau of Local Assessment before the setting of the FY03 tax rate is final and the FY03 levy limit, as increased by that amount, is the base for calculating the community's levy limit in FY04.

F. Amended Tax Base Growth Report

The assessors may submit <u>one</u> "Amended Tax Base Growth Report" (Form LA-13A) for <u>each</u> fiscal year for the purpose of reporting any allowable tax base growth that was <u>inadvertently omitted</u> from the original tax base report submitted for that year.

1. Report Deadline

Amended reports for a fiscal year must be submitted to the Bureau of Local Assessment <u>before</u> the setting of the tax rate for the <u>next</u> fiscal year. **Amended reports submitted after that time will not be accepted.**

2. Form and Content

Under the aggregate reporting format, all tax base growth for parcels and accounts included in the actual tax commitment for a particular fiscal year is reported. It cannot be omitted, as was the case under previous reporting procedures. Therefore, the amended report consists only of those additions to the commitment (omitted or revised assessments) that result in an increase in the year's assessed valuation (or adjusted valuation if a revaluation year) of a parcel or personal property item over its prior year's assessed valuation.

a. FY02 Amended Tax Base Growth Reports

Any amended report for FY02 must be submitted before the setting of the FY03 tax rate and may include those additions to the FY02 commitment (omitted or revised assessments) that result in an increased FY02 assessed (or adjusted) valuation of a parcel or personal property item over its FY01 assessed valuation.

b. FY03 Amended Tax Base Growth Reports

The amended report for FY03 will be submitted before the FY04 tax rate is set and will include those additions to the FY03 commitment (omitted or revised assessments) that result in an increased FY03 assessed (or adjusted) valuation of a parcel or personal property item over its FY02 assessed valuation.

3. Certification of Additional Tax Base Growth

If the assessors submit an amended report, the Bureau of Local Assessment will certify the amount of any additional tax base growth and notify the Bureau of Accounts. The Bureau of Accounts will then recalculate the community's levy limit and notify the assessors of the new base for the purpose of calculating the succeeding year's levy limit.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING TAX BASE GROWTH REPORT

The Tax Base Growth Report (Form LA-13) is designed to establish the allowable valuation for all qualifying tax base growth by calculating the increase in assessed valuation on an aggregate rather than property by property basis. Because the allowable valuation and resulting levy limit increase is based solely on increases in the current year's assessment roll, this reporting method requires the assessors to first adjust the prior year's assessed valuations so that any decreases in those valuations for the current year will not be offset against the increases. If there has been a revaluation or interim year valuation adjustment, an additional adjustment must be made to account for the portion of the current year's assessed valuation that is attributable to the revaluation. The difference between this adjusted valuation base and the current year's proposed assessed valuations will be the allowable valuation of all tax base growth.

The columns on the Form LA-13 must be completed as explained below. The documentation required to support all reported amounts is also explained. **Supporting documentation should be retained in the Assessors' Office for at least 5 years** in the event of an audit.

DETERMINE FY2002 VALUATION BASE

COLUMN A - FY2002 VALUE COMMITTED TO TAX COLLECTOR

Insert in Column A the <u>actual FY02</u> assessed valuation for real and personal property shown in the original commitment to the tax collector and the Form LA-4, "Assessment/Classification Report" used in setting the FY02 tax rate.

<u>Documentation</u>: The FY02 Real and Personal Tax Commitments.

COLUMN B - BILLS REVISED AND OMITTED

List in Column B the number of revised and omitted assessments made for real property parcels in FY02 and the amount of additional assessed valuation that resulted.

<u>Documentation</u>: A parcel listing by property class (as shown on the Form LA-13) of all FY02 Omitted and Revised Assessment Commitments reported in Column B. The amount of additional assessments reported should also reconcile with the amount reported to the Bureau of Local Assessment. See Property Tax Bureau Informational Guideline Release No. 90-215 *Omitted and Revised Assessments*.

COLUMN C - ABATEMENTS

List in Column C <u>only</u> the number of abatements granted on real property parcels in FY02 and the amount of <u>FY02 assessed valuation</u> abated that is reflected in their FY03 assessed valuations. In other words, the number and value of abatements granted for reasons that continue to apply in FY03.

Example No. 1. A parcel was originally assessed for \$400,000 in FY02. An abatement of \$50,000 was granted to correct an error in the square footage. Therefore, the final FY02 assessed valuation of the parcel was \$350,000. No changes occurred in the property during 2001 so that the FY03 assessed valuation remained \$350,000. Because the \$50,000 abatement is reflected in the FY03 valuation, it should be included in Column C.

Example No. 2. A parcel was originally assessed for \$100,000 in FY02. An abatement of \$25,000 was granted because the house was 75%, not 100% complete as assessed. The house was completed during 2001 so that the FY03 assessed valuation will now be \$100,000. In this case, the reason the abatement was granted does not apply to the property in FY03 so that none of the \$25,000 valuation decrease associated with it is reflected in the FY03 assessed valuation. Therefore, this abatement is not included in Column C.

<u>Documentation</u>: Abatement Certificate Book (if the property use classification is noted on the certificates) or a parcel listing by property class (as shown on the Form LA-13) of all FY02 abatements indicating those reflected in FY03 assessed valuations and reported in Column C.

COLUMN D - OTHER ADJUSTMENTS

List in Column D the number and amount of other <u>non-revaluation adjustments</u> made to the FY02 real property assessed valuation base. Adjustments to be reported in Column D include parcels that have had <u>decreases</u> in total assessed value due to property changes or have had major property use <u>classification changes</u>.

<u>Non-Revaluation Year</u>: If FY03 is <u>not</u> a revaluation year, the amounts reported in Column D as adjustments for property or class changes will be the difference between the FY02 and FY03 total assessed valuations of the parcel.

<u>Revaluation Year</u>: If FY03 is a revaluation year or interim adjustment year, <u>all</u> <u>amounts reported in Column D should be determined before the revaluation</u>. This means the amount listed should be the difference between the FY02 assessed valuation and what the parcel would have been assessed for in FY03 if the revaluation had not occurred (*i.e.*, <u>using FY02 valuation schedules</u>).

PROPERTY CHANGES

List the number of parcels that have had <u>total assessed valuation decreases</u> for FY03 due to changes in their taxable unit, taxable status or physical condition since FY02, and the amount of those decreases. Examples would include parcels that <u>decreased</u> in value because of:

- a physical change such as a fire or demolition.
- a change to full or partial tax exempt status.
- the merger of two or more parcels into a single parcel with a net decrease in their assessed valuation.
- the qualification for Chapter 61, 61A or 61B or a restrictive easement.
- the applicability of a zoning change with a decrease in value.

<u>Please Note</u>: For subdivisions and condominium conversions, do <u>not</u> include in Column D any parcel that has been deleted or has decreased in value as a result of the change in taxable unit.

CLASS CHANGES

List the number of parcels with changes in <u>major</u> usage classification for FY03 (for example, from residential to commercial class) and the amount of the change in the assessed valuation base of those classes. Changes in usage within a major class (for example, from residential 130 to residential 101) may also be listed, particularly if different revaluation adjustments have been used within the major class.

• <u>Increases/No Change in Value</u>: If the FY03 assessed valuation of the parcel with the class change increased or remained the same as its FY02 valuation (before any revaluation adjustment), <u>subtract</u> the FY02 valuation from the FY02 class base and add the same amount to the FY03 class base.

Example No. 1. NON-REVALUATION YEAR.

For FY02 a parcel of commercial vacant land was assessed for \$50,000. A single family house was then constructed on the land. In FY03, the property was classified as residential property and will be assessed for \$200,000. This class change should be reported in Column D as a \$50,000 decrease in the value of the commercial class and a \$50,000 increase in the value of the residential (101) class. This will equalize the valuation base and ensure that the \$150,000 increase in value due to the new house will be included in the proper class for computing the levy limit increase.

Example No. 2. REVALUATION YEAR.

For FY02, a commercial parcel was assessed for \$150,000. For FY03, it was converted to an industrial warehouse and will be assessed, after revaluation, for \$140,000. However, if no interim year adjustment in value had been made, the parcel would have continued to be valued at \$150,000. The class change should be reported in Column D as a \$150,000 decrease in value of the commercial class and an increase of the same amount in the industrial class.

• <u>Decrease in Value</u>: If the FY03 valuation of the parcel with the class change decreased from its FY02 value (before any revaluation adjustment), <u>subtract</u> the FY02 valuation from the FY02 class base and <u>add</u> the FY02 valuation, or the value before any revaluation adjustment, to the FY03 class base.

Example No. 1. NON-REVALUATION YEAR.

For FY02 a parcel of residential vacant land was assessed for \$70,000. The property qualified for Ch. 61A classification and will be assessed for \$25,000 in FY03. This change should be reported in Column D as a \$70,000 decrease in the value of the residential (130) class and a \$25,000 increase in the value of the commercial (61, 61A, 61B) class. This will equalize the valuation base and ensure that the \$45,000 decrease in value due to the chapter land assessment does not offset increases in the FY03 valuation of other commercial properties.

Example No. 2. REVALUATION YEAR.

For FY02, a parcel of commercial land was assessed for \$300,000. For FY03, the land has been reclassified as industrial and valued at \$150,000. If an interim year valuation adjustment had not been made, the parcel would have been valued at \$200,000. The class change should be reported in Column D as a \$300,000 decrease in the commercial class and a \$200,000 increase in the industrial class.

These adjustments of the FY02 assessed valuation base will ensure that any increases in the value of parcels with class changes will be reported as tax base growth and that the proper tax rate is applied to calculate the resulting levy limit.

<u>Documentation</u>: A parcel listing by property class of each adjustment reported in Column D, including the amount of and reason for the adjustment.

COLUMN E - FY2002 VALUE BASE

Determine the **FY02 Value Base** by <u>adding</u> the **Bills Revised and Omitted** in Column B to the **FY02 Value Committed to Tax Collector** in Column A, <u>subtracting</u> the **Abatements** in Column C and <u>adding or subtracting</u> the **Other Adjustments** in Column D. (Column E = A + B - C \pm D)

DETERMINE REVALUATION ADJUSTMENT

<u>COLUMN F - REVALUATION/INTERIM YEAR ADJUSTMENTS</u>

Determine the adjustment required in the **FY02 Value Base** reported in Column E to exclude from growth calculations any changes in FY03 assessed valuations due to a revaluation, reassessment, equalization or other valuation adjustment program.

In most cases, the revaluation adjustment will have to be estimated as explained below. However, some computer-assisted mass appraisal systems have the capability of computing the actual amount of increased valuation attributable to the revaluation. If so, that dollar amount should be inserted in the Column F as the revaluation adjustment.

Where the property class is small, the actual amount of allowable valuation can be calculated on a parcel by parcel basis (adjusting the previous year's value if there was a revaluation or interim year adjustment). In this case, the total allowable valuation for the class should be subtracted from the **FY03 Proposed Valuation** (Column H). The difference between that amount and the **FY02 Value Base** (Column E) should be inserted in Column F as the revaluation adjustment.

TRENDING

If FY03 assessed valuations were determined by use of a single trending factor applied to properties in any or all of the classes shown in the Form LA-13, the revaluation adjustment should be estimated by multiplying the trending percentage by the amount shown in Column E for that class. Insert both the trending percentage and the resulting amount in Column F.

For example, if the values of all residential condominiums (class 102) were increased by a factor of 10%, multiply the amount shown in Column E by 10%. Insert that amount, rounded to the nearest valuation dollar, and 10% in Column F.

OTHER PROGRAMS

If FY03 assessed valuations were determined by some other method, such as adjusting the valuation system or appraisal factors in any or all classes, the assessors should estimate the revaluation adjustment by deriving an overall percentage change factor for each class which will reflect the revaluation difference between FY02 and FY03.

Three alternative methods suggested for deriving the factors for each property class are to calculate: (1) the aggregate percentage change in valuation between FY02 and FY03 for a representative sample of revaluation-only parcels in the class (parcels with valuations reflecting the revaluation program only, not any change in physical, taxable unit or taxable status since FY02); (2) the aggregate percentage change in valuation between FY02 and FY03 for all revaluation-only parcels in the class; or (3) the aggregate percentage change between the FY03 valuation of the class before and after the revaluation using the Form LA-4 (Assessment/ Classification Report).

Method 1: Sampling

<u>Selecting the Sample</u>. There are many circumstances that affect the way in which a community conducts this sampling process. The sample should include 5% of the property class or 20 parcels, whichever is greater. <u>The properties selected for the sample should not have had any changes in their physical condition, taxable status or taxable unit since FY02</u>. However, properties without physical, status or unit changes that have had a change in an appraisal factor, such as grade or condition, as part of the revaluation process should be included in the sample.

If the property class is fairly homogeneous (for example, if most single family residential properties (class 101) are about the same age, if there are only minor valuation differences between predominant styles or if neighborhoods are not very disparate) a 5% systematic random sample of all parcels in the class could be chosen. If the property class is heterogeneous, a stratified sample, weighted to reflect the valuation influences, would be more representative. For example, a community has three distinct neighborhoods that strongly influence the value of class 101 properties: Neighborhood A comprises 45% of the total parcels for this class, Neighborhood B 25% of the parcels and Neighborhood C 30%. If a 5% sample of the entire class is 100 parcels, 45 should be chosen randomly from Neighborhood A, 25 from B and 30 from C. In very heterogeneous communities, further stratification by style, age, etc. may have to be done to develop a truly representative sample.

Stratification of commercial and industrial samples may be especially important in isolating the effect of revaluation. If the revaluation affected specific commercial and industrial properties differently, then parcels in those classes must be stratified. For example, separate samples should be drawn from downtown and outskirt areas, from retail, office and warehouse sectors, etc. If there are not sufficient parcels in each sub-group, the parcel by parcel method of identification may have to be used. In some instances, a community with one or two unique properties (for example, a large shopping mall or a factory) may have to determine the revaluation factor separately for these parcels and then estimate the adjustment for the remaining properties in the class by sampling.

<u>Deriving the Adjustment Factor</u>. Once the sample is selected, the revaluation adjustment factor is calculated as a total or aggregate sample percentage change by: (A) <u>Adding</u> all FY02 values, as abated, of the sample parcels, (B) <u>Adding</u> all FY03 values of the sample parcels, (C) <u>Calculating</u> the total difference, (D) <u>Dividing</u> the total difference by the FY02 total value ($C \div A$) and (E) <u>Multiplying</u> the result by 100. The resulting percentage should be carried out <u>at least</u> 3 decimal points (for example: 12.432%, or 9.5556% = 9.556%).

Method 2: Aggregate Change Factor

Identifying the Parcels. In communities with the system capability, an alternative method of calculating the revaluation adjustment is to derive a total or aggregate percentage change factor for each property class by comparing the FY02 and FY03 total valuations of parcels in the class that reflect the impact of the revaluation program only. Therefore, the first step in using this method is to identify all parcels with any changes in physical condition, taxable status or taxable unit since FY02 in order to exclude them from the analysis. The adjustment factor is then derived from the total valuation of the remaining parcels in the class, which would include those parcels that did not change in value in FY03 or had FY03 valuation changes attributable solely to revaluation. Parcels that had a FY03 valuation change due to a change in an appraisal factor, such as grade or condition, as part of the revaluation process would also be included in the group analyzed.

<u>Deriving the Adjustment Factor</u>. Once the entire group for analysis is identified, the adjustment factor is derived in the same manner as it is using the sampling method by: (A) <u>Adding</u> all FY02 values, as abated, of the group, (B) <u>Adding</u> all FY03 values of the same parcels, (C) <u>Calculating</u> the total difference, (D) <u>Dividing</u> the total difference by the FY02 total value ($C \div A$) and (E) <u>Multiplying</u> the difference by 100. The resulting percentage should be carried out <u>at least</u> 3 decimal points (for example: 12.432%, or 9.5556% = 9.556%).

Method 3: LA-4 Comparisons

Completing the Forms LA-4. In communities with the system capability, a third method for calculating the revaluation adjustment is to derive a percentage change factor for each property class from a comparison of the total class valuation before and after the revaluation, using Forms LA-4, the Assessment/Classification Report. Under this method, before undertaking the revaluation, valuations should be determined for all parcels that had changes in their physical condition, taxable status or taxable unit since FY02, and a valuation total for all parcels (changed and unchanged) determined and recorded on a Form LA-4. After the revaluation, a percentage change factor is derived by comparing the total class valuations on that Form LA-4, which would reflect all FY03 valuation changes except those for the overall revaluation program, with those shown on the final Form LA-4 completed for tax rate purposes. FY03 valuation changes due to changes in an appraisal factor, such as grade or condition, made as part of a revaluation, should also be reflected on the final Form LA-4 with other revaluation changes.

<u>Deriving the Adjustment Factor</u>. The revaluation adjustment factor is derived using this method by: (A) <u>Calculating</u> the difference in the property class total valuation before and after the revaluation, (B) <u>Dividing</u> the difference by the prerevaluation total valuation (shown on the first Form LA-4) and C) <u>Multiplying</u> the result by 100. The resulting percentage should be carried out <u>at least</u> 3 decimal points (for example: 12.432%, or 9.5556% = 9.556%).

Once the estimated adjustment factor for each class is derived by the chosen method, it should be multiplied by the **FY02 Value Base** (Column E) for that class, and then rounded to the nearest valuation dollar. Insert both the percentage and the resulting amount in Column F.

<u>SEPARATELY PRICED IMPROVEMENTS/</u> <u>MISCELLANEOUS VALUATION ADJUSTMENTS</u>

Column F should also be used to list increases in FY03 assessed valuations of properties compared to their FY02 assessed valuations due to the separate pricing of improvements (driveways, fences, etc.) that existed on the properties at the time of the FY02 assessment, the redefining of specific valuation characteristics or the use of a different valuation method (cost rather than income, for example). These increases are considered to be market value changes and are not allowable new growth. They may be in addition to valuation increases due to the overall revaluation program.

Example No. 1. In FY02, a commercial parcel was assessed for \$400,000 using the income approach. The property consisted of land, 2 small buildings, a paved parking lot, a large lighted sign and a perimeter chain link fence. In FY03, the cost approach was used and each improvement was separately priced and depreciated.

Even though the physical condition of the property remains unchanged since FY02, the total proposed value for the parcel in FY03 under the new valuation method will be \$405,000. The \$5,000 increase in value attributable to the separate pricing and the new valuation method must be reported in Column F.

Example No. 2. During the revaluation process, the assessors determine that 10 houses identified as colonials in FY02 should now be identified as antiques because the market indicates that houses over 75 years have special value. The \$80,000 increase in value attributable to the redefining of valuation characteristics must be reported in Column F.

<u>Documentation</u>: The derivation of the revaluation factor by property class. If sampling was used, an explanation of the type of sample selected and the reason for selecting it, as well as a list of the sample parcels and their values. If the aggregate change method was used, an explanation of how the revaluation-only group was determined and supporting printouts or computation papers. If the Form LA-4 comparison method, the Forms LA-4 dated and clearly labeled.

For any value included in Column F, due to separate pricing of existing improvements or miscellaneous valuation adjustments, a parcel listing by property class of the amount and reason included.

<u>COLUMN G - TOTAL ADJUSTED VALUE BASE</u>

Determine the **Total Adjusted Value Base** by:

• Adding the dollar amount of the **Revaluation/Interim Year Adjustment** in Column F to the **FY02 Value Base** in Column E if the revaluation/adjustment reflected an <u>increase</u> in assessed valuations. (Column G = E + F)

OR

• <u>Subtracting</u> the dollar amount of the **Revaluation/Interim Year Adjustment** in Column F from the **FY02 Value Base** in Column E if the revaluation/adjustment reflected a <u>decrease</u> in assessed valuations. (Column G = E - F)

If there has been no revaluation adjustment, the **Total Adjusted Value Base** will be the same as the **FY02 Value Base** in Column E.

DETERMINE FISCAL YEAR 2003 VALUATION

COLUMN H - FY03 PROPOSED VALUATION

Insert in Column H the proposed FY03 assessed valuations for all real and personal property. The valuations used <u>must</u> be the valuations reported for the purpose of calculating the FY03 minimum residential factor and tax rate as shown on the "Assessment/Classification Report" (Form LA-4).

<u>Documentation</u>: The "Assessment/Classification Report" (Form LA-4) and the FY03 Real and Personal Property Commitments.

DETERMINE ALLOWABLE VALUATION AND LEVY LIMIT INCREASE

COLUMN I - NEW GROWTH VALUATION

Determine the allowable valuation increase for real and personal property as follows:

• Real Property

Determine the allowable valuation increase for each major class (Residential, Open Space, Commercial and Industrial) by <u>subtracting</u> the **Total Adjusted Value Base** in Column G from the **FY03 Proposed Valuation** in Column H. (Column I = H - G)

• Personal Property

Determine the allowable valuation increase for <u>Personal Property</u> by <u>adding</u> the FY03 proposed assessed valuations of all new or refurbished items.

<u>Documentation</u>: A listing by account of new or refurbished items and their assessed valuations. If the allowable valuation for the account is greater than the difference between the FY02 and FY03 assessed valuations, all new items, including inventory, must be listed in detail.

COLUMN J - PRIOR YEAR TAX RATE

List in Column J the FY02 tax rate or rates.

COLUMN K - LEVY GROWTH

Determine the increase in the FY03 levy limit for tax base growth by dividing the **New Growth Valuation** in Column I by 1000 and multiplying the result by the appropriate FY02 **Tax Rate** in Column J. (Column K = $I \div 1000 \times J$).